
BRENDA BREWER: Good morning, good afternoon, good evening and Happy New Year. Welcome to the NCUC Executive Committee Call on the 11th of January, 2021 at 14:00 UTC. Today's meeting is recorded; kindly state your name when speaking for the record and keep your phones and microphones on mute when not speaking. Attendance will be taken from the Zoom room. Maryam sends her regrets for today's call. Raphael, I'll turn the call over to you. Thank you.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Thank you, Brenda. First of all, Happy New Year to everyone. I hope that you had good holidays despite the various lockdown measures that have increased over the time in a lot of places in the world. I hope that everyone is well.

The first point today was just a quick follow up on the FY21 ABR—the document that was submitted a few days before new year—just to say that it was submitted and that we will be expecting a comeback or feedback from staff on those matters.

I see that we have Carlos on the call today; I don't know if you wanted to say something about that, Carlos, or if you just wanted to be there with us this morning. So, if there's anything you'd like to say please, please go ahead.

CARLOS REYES: Thank you, Raphael. Hi everyone. My name is Carlos Reyes for those of you that don't know me. Happy New Year. I work with Brenda and

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Maryam. And since Maryam is out today, I figured I'd listen in just in case you needed anything. I know Brenda has this under control as she always does but I'm happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: All right. Thanks. I mean it's fine for us, I was not expecting feedback today from a document that was sent only a few days ago counting all the public health days in between. So, as far as we're concerned for the FY21 ABR, it's good then. Yeah, we'll work with you and with Maryam as well once we get feedback on that. So that's good.

So, unless there was anything more on that from anyone on the call, then I would just want to move to the Financial Year 22 ABR which is the one coming up with the deadline in 18 days. So, last call, the thing that we discussed about that was about possibly seeing with Bruna what was the plan with NCSG as well so that we don't replicate any efforts. I think she's been gathering input from members on her side, but it's not been anything structured from what I could see, and I was not able to reach her over the last few days. So, I think the best thing for us would be right now to proceed with our own consultation of the membership as well.

So, again picking back up to on what we discussed the last time in December, I think we had agreed that we would get membership input in some form of a more structured way than just sending an e-mail on the list and be like give us your ideas. But at the same time, we also wanted people to be able to see what others had submitted and the

informal conclusion we had come to was that maybe one of the most simple ways to go about that was to get a Google form going but to share the resulting Excel sheet on the mailing list as well so that people would be able to see other's submission. And in case they just agree with whatever's been submitted, that they don't submit twice, or they don't submit a very similar idea. So, that was what had been agreed.

Now there were intermediary steps before we would do that, to allow for consultation with NCSG but then we had the holidays, and this really didn't happen. So, I think at this point, given that we have only a bit more than two weeks left before we need to submit ABRs for the Financial Year 22, we should just go ahead with our own thing. So, my idea at this point would be for us just to figure out the way we want to do that in a sense that we want something a bit more structured than just saying, "Give us your ideas." But we still want to probably remain open to possibly good ideas that we haven't thought about.

Yeah, my question would be for you now in more details, how should we go about—should we submit let's say ideas and leave a blank feed in a Google form to get the membership to submit their own ideas for an ABR? I mean obviously along with this we would give them the rules: What is a good ABR and what is not, so that we don't get the request for more travel support, for example.

But yep, on that I will just leave the floor open to you if you have something in mind completely for an ABR, or if you just had more precise ideas about how we should go about consulting the membership. And then once we've cleared that then we can figure out a deadline and more concrete steps. But yep. The floor is yours if you

have—just open your mic, there’s only a few of us right now. So, Olga and Ben, if you do have ideas or things you would want, things you would like to—yeah, Olga...

OLGA KYRYLIUK:

Thanks, Raphael. I think what has been suggested sounds good. I mean given the few ideas from our side for the members to approve or to say whether they refuse that or not. And then also to leave the space for them to add their own ideas and again to add in of what we will be using, whether it’s Google forms, so whatever just to leave enough space for them to add whatever they have in their minds, and as you said, to explain what is the general framework. But I think those who are in the membership for a long time, they will understand to what is exactly that can be submitted for the ABR. But just to remind so that people have a clear vision of what we are looking for. And then we can summarize what we get, and I hope we get something in response that we can work on, otherwise it will be on us if I understand it right?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, you do. I mean we don’t have to submit an ABR obviously but it’s an opportunity to do more things. So, I presume in older years of NCSG and NCUC there were probably ABRs that were not submitted in some of these previous financial years over the 10, 20 years, but the 10 years at least. Ok so. But yeah—so of course if we literally have no ideas, then we shouldn’t. But I think we can come up with something. I’m pretty sure that we can and we definitely should. So, we’d probably go about that.

So, I think I mentioned it probably last time, one of my I would say ideas about that was to get some very practical writing training, and to build on capacity building or to continue capacity building. That was one of the things that I would like to do, but I see Ben you have your hand up. So, go ahead.

BENJAMIN AKINMOYEJE:

Good afternoon everyone. So, for me I think with the reality of how things have become right now, I think it would be fair if we have opportunity to use our ABR to do maybe many events online. I mean trainings, we've had endless training. So, if it's possible for us to have some form of events that get members—even if it's some form of debate, whatever, that gets membership engagement back to call. Just beyond these incessant trainings that we continue to have and continue to build. So, that's one.

Another thing—I don't know if the ABR permits us to do that—would be some tangible investment into our online platform beyond voluntary. If there's something we could do about platform that could really make it come to life beyond, since we now have our lives in digital and face-to-face meeting is almost unrealistic in the nearest future.

Can we do something to our platforms that can make it more practical, more—bring back the audience or the members who are drifting away basically because we've gone online almost 100%? So, yeah, it's an idea I'm throwing out there, it might need a lot of tweaking to make it real, but if we can just think in that direction as well. Thank you.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Thank you, Ben. I think providing our members or having better online presence in general and improving what we have is a good idea. Now the general principle with ABRs from what I understood in the meeting that we had in the Fall, is that it needs to be as precise as possible. So, we basically need to come up with a very concrete idea about something. So, I think your general idea is good, now we would just need to develop that into something very concrete and of course we need to need money for that.

So, taking back your example of some online events, I would say from the start we can do that without additional funding support. We may need funding support for certain specific things but one thing that also ICANN does not fund, is outside speakers, so if we would get someone that person would want to have a stipend for some Zoom intervention or something, that's not something that they can fund. So, that's the first thing. We need to explain why we need money for a given thing and then we need to give a lot of details or as many details as possible if we want it approved obviously.

So, I think those are two very good ideas. We just need to flesh them out rather quickly given that the deadline is in 18 days. So, if there is something that you have in mind or that you've been thinking of, feel free to share it. Once we're going to get the membership consultation going and that would be really in the next few days, you can feel free to jump in and jump in there and maybe you can put your idea in the form and then you can also share it on the list if that's something you kind of want discussed with the broader membership.

I would then encourage you to do that but as far as the ideas that we would let's say put forward in the form already, I think that these would need to be at this point, not fully fleshed out but almost so that—these would be things that we could almost move forward with immediately. And I mean I don't have right now any like what I was mentioning just before, this idea of continuing capacity building, but focusing more on writing is something that I've been thinking about. But I could try to flesh it out a little bit more so that we could think of submitting that as an ABR. But It's only one idea. Ken?

KEN HERMAN:

Yeah, hi. Are there other constraints to the funding? You mentioned one in terms of hiring, giving stipends to people. Are there other constraints that exist that we should know about?

BENJAMIN AKINMOYEJE:

So, I could pull up the stuff that I had written in the last meeting agenda. But if I remember correctly, it's no travel support and no stipend for external speakers. But I would say that's pretty much it—now Carlos if I'm missing something very big feel free to intervene—but let me just take what I had written because it's just there...

KEN HERMAN:

Okay. Just for the follow-up, that's clear enough for me as it stands. So, I'm just wondering what is practical to be able to spend money on if you're not spending it on people and on travel. Things like materials development, the trainings I think are fine, but I think they only reach—

my observation is that they only reach a small number of people. And as we talked last time, there's perhaps some sort of follow-up mechanism to evaluate what has been done in the past and its effectiveness might be a useful way to spend money.

But I'm thinking more in terms of how to engage with the—as everybody is—how to engage with the community in a better way and perhaps the kinds of thoughts I thought are; materials development that is very specific to the NCUC in terms of explaining why others outside in that community might want to become engaged and might respond to a request for comments, as well as perhaps improving the websites that are for this community.

So, I mean just a couple of ideas that come to mind but I'm not clear yet on what is practical to spend money on and some guidance on that would be more helpful. Thanks.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Thank you, Ken.

BENJAMIN AKINMOYEJE: Thanks. Ken, exactly, I mean you're tilting towards it a bit. Our website can be a lot more interactive now that we can't travel. It can be more engaging. Now to do that, we need money. We would have to have someone build such engaging platforms which would make it a lot—maybe integrate some other platform with it that'll make it quite easier.

It would give—yes, you can't get 100% face-to-face like experience but you could do a little more online. And I believe in order to do that, even

evaluating the website to bring such improvement, we need some expert that will have to be paid one way or the other, or tools that would bring those experience online.

And I think that's the tilt that our community should be moving towards. Once we sacrifice physical meetings, necessary investments ought to be made to make online experience a lot more interesting such that it would naturally bring people on board. Thank you. That's what I'm thinking.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Thank you, Ben. And thank you, Ken, as well. So, Carlos has just written in the chat so the main idea behind the ABR is that they should further ICANN work. In our case, it's mostly policy work and mostly it should also be related to civil society. And so, one thing that is possible, so I was just reading again the notes that I had from the last meeting. There's no travel support for ICANN public meetings. Now, if we request travel support for a non-ICANN meeting, there are specific rules for that.

Now, Financial Year 22, yeah, we can foresee maybe in person meetings, so that's something. And I think that's something that NCUC members, there are certain other meetings that can be related to DNS policy, although not in full but in part at least. And I'm thinking of RightsCon for example where the civil society segment of ICANN has always been readably active. So, that's also a possibility. But I see I think, yeah Olga, you have your hand up. So, please go ahead.

OLGA KYRYLIUK:

Yes, just to what was just said. This year, RightsCon will be online so it doesn't make sense to ask money for that travel support. But what I was thinking also, as long as we are not sure how many meetings will be happening in face-to-face format this year also, and usually I think it was also one of the parts that you were asking ABRs for is for some outreach activity like having information booths, printing information materials, so for those purposes. And we're not sure whether we would be able to use these for this year as well.

So, maybe we can plan some outreach activity which we can organize online. I'm not sure for what exactly we might need these additional resources at this point, but I can think on that. Because I think that during the last year, it was quite challenging for us to get new members on board given that everything was happening online.

But as long as this is somehow becoming a part of our reality, we need to think how in current circumstances we can still bring new people to NCUC and maybe this also could be one of the actions that we can start thinking and working.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Thank you, Olga. I think, it seems that we probably all agree that our online presence needs some improvement. So, maybe what I would suggest at this point is that that could be the main idea that we would put forward to the membership in that case, to the Google form, is to basically present that as one possibility and maybe the one that we would like to explore further.

I think given the deadline—and I want to give as much time as possible to the consultation so that we have the time to develop ideas. I would probably today I will probably try to get some Google forms out to you all and have it reviewed so that we could put that out in the next few days, maybe tomorrow or Wednesday, really to give time for those developments. But I also agree with everything that's been said so far with our online presence.

But again, we just need to make sure that what we ask for needs to be as targeted as precise as possible and we need to be able to show how it's going to further ICANN's work and how that money's going to spent in a way that's really going to further that work. So, yeah, it needs to—as concrete as we can get—that's the goal so we get the ABR approved. So, I've taken notes of what has been said so far. And so, was there anything else on that?

KEN HERMAN: Yeah, this is Ken if I may.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. Go ahead.

KEN HERMAN: So, the question I have is enhancing the website, making it more interactive. Two points. Number one is perhaps on the form that's circulated, in addition to asking for other suggestions people might have for the ABR, perhaps we can ask also specifically what they would like to see that may improve the website and how to make it interactive. So,

what would be useful to them that may not exist in existing online platforms like their usual social media sites.

The second thing is, if we're going to—I'm unclear exactly how does the enhancing the website happen? Does the ABR then request funding to hire a company to, or people, to do the work necessary to enhance the website? Is that something that the ICANN Org arranges or even does? Do they have in-house capacity?

So, it's not clear to me exactly what the funding would be used for. The concept is there but I would be a little unclear about what exactly funding would be dedicated to. Could somebody help me with that?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Thanks, Ken. Yeah. And it's a very valid question so, and it's good that you asked those. And of course, you would not know because you were not there before but it's good to keep those things in mind because that's precisely for us to tell ICANN Org.

Now, the way that they work—and again Carlos if I'm saying something wrong, feel free to jump in—but is that they will always prioritize their internal resources. And so, when we ask for an ABR we are not asking for a set amount of funds, we are telling them that's what we want to do, and we'd like resources for that. And if they have resources in-house, they will use those the same.

And it works that way for the training too. Normally, and the last time we had a training it was someone from ICANN as well. And the last two times we had trainings actually, was someone—both times were

someone internal to ICANN. So, they will always prioritize. Now, if our request is, I would say otherwise valid, but they don't have the resources in-house, they will go outside to get them for us.

And that's a question that I asked when we had the meeting about ABRs in the fall. Let's say that we have a provider in mind for a very specific thing and we say, "We want that provider." It's not impossible to come up with a request like this but it needs to be very well argued as to why it's precisely that service provider, that provider for whatever we want.

So, if we think about technical improvements to our website, I think it might be a little bit difficult to argue we want this specific provider because that's something that a lot of people can do, technically speaking. And that probably ICANN Org has resources inside as well for when it comes to web development or these kinds of things. As you pointed out, we have ideas right now, let's say we want to improve the interactivity of the website, we want to improve maybe how it looks, there's a lot of ways to improve it to make it more engaging, to make our online presence more engaging.

But that's precisely for us and for the membership but in the end it's going to be for us to figure out how we translate these ideas into concrete requests for specific types of resources. And to link those to how it's going to further the work, which ultimately is policy work that we do. So yeah, that's totally for us to do and so, yep.

BENJAMIN AKINMOYEJE: Since I opened this can of worms, the issue here is even if you look at AFRALO's order, you see how not interactive, usability can be improved

to which extent depending on how you engage the users. But you could see on their websites, on their pages whatever it is, it shows the current public comments, when the next meeting is happening. You go to their website and get actively involved in ICANN from there. If you want more details, then you go to ICANN website.

So, in which way it gets their members to first prioritize the agenda, and then from the agenda go to ICANN. Whereas in ours, you can't even see what is, so if you tell a new NCUC member, take this website and it will give you everything, it doesn't give you everything. It doesn't tell you one stop shop things that has bias towards our interests, then from there, move to the bigger issue. I don't know if you understand what I'm saying.

And we could do that to our websites if we have somebody in ICANN who has this understanding and could just help us put some of this short quote or whatever it is, make content more up to date to—what we have there right now is years ago.

And I'm sorry about that, maybe it's our responsibility that we didn't catch [up on to] that, but these are things that we could do and we could put our own agenda around this that could make it easy for our members to see it as, "Okay, I understand where they are coming from and then I can see how it fits into the bigger ICANN conversation" and that way we can manage our traffic and get our people engaged more and more. And that way, we get more participation. That's in the line of what I'm thinking about.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Thanks, Ben. And yeah, I think, so from what I get from you is maybe what we could have a use for is to have someone help us figure out the ways like concretely to improve our website or very concrete things like how to make it more engaging generally. Because of course as far as the content is concerned, then it's in great part—as you also pointed out—on us, so we can have an agenda with the upcoming meeting, but we need meetings and same for the public comments as well. Although that's dealt with on the NCSG page, but we can probably feature that on our website as well.

So, the content that goes on the website, that's on us definitely. But I think we could probably ask, and that would make sense, to ask for help to what are some ways that we could improve it in terms of someone who might be more familiar with user interface development as far as web development is concerned. So, that might be a way that we could go about that. So, let me note that now. All right, yep. Okay, thanks, thanks a lot, Ben.

And so again, I don't know if your questions from earlier, well your second question that has more to do with what we should ask for basically, has that been answered by ... or do you still have certain uncertainties or other follow-up questions on that?

KEN HERMAN:

I think that's really good, thanks. That's really helpful.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Great. Thanks a lot. And really do keep bringing these points up, it's very important and it's a job that only someone new can do and I think it's a very important one. It keeps us focused on the things that matter.

Was there anything else on ABRs or the FY22? So, as I said, I will design a frame for the Google doc trying to put in everything that we need to have in there. It's going to be mostly information I guess, but I'm going to try to indicate where we would like to go, meaning with the website improvements, and try to ... so that we can funnel the input in a certain way, but also leaving space of course always for people with other ideas.

Because of course, again, we are the ones who are formally submitting ABRs on behalf of the constituency but there's nothing that prevents members from coming together, writing it out, and us approving it as well. And I'm going to feature that and I'm going to highlight that when I reach out to the membership meeting, that they can come up with great ideas too and maybe then our job is just to rubber stamp the thing and be happy about it. That would be great. Now, I would not expect that right now but of course that's always something that can happen so we should definitely not prevent that from happening, if it may.

Okay, yeah, and also just a side point, on the last meeting we had a bit of discussion about what kind of collaborative tool could be used and it jumped directly to Google form here but the reason is that Mili had a quick look over the break and she told me that there's no other tool that's really as easy to use and free and that would achieve the same result with the same speed or the same ease of use as a Google doc, if we publicly share the answer sheet.

So, that's why I went straight with the Google doc here. Okay, so one last time. Anything else on the ABR for the upcoming one? I take that as a no.

So, a very quick point, current public comments. So, there were two recently that we unfortunately missed. This is more of a discussion for the NCSG policy committee, so it's not really our remit, but I just wanted to flag it to you as well because it's unfortunate when it happens. Now the current big comment, which is the one about the financial documents, I'm the one who's penholder on that, so I make sure that we don't miss the deadline.

If any of you also are interested in that and Ken, I don't know what's your experience with those kinds of things, but that's also a good way to get into another side of ICANN as well, which is the money side which is always important. In any case, it was just to flag this you and to tell you there is also currently a, I think, relatively big comment which is on the EPDP recommendations 19-22. It's from phase 1 EPDP but now they call it priority 2 because it's not priority 1.

But in any case, this is currently an unclaimed comment, an orphaned comment, meaning there's no one who's stood up, so if any of you wishes to work on that—now it's not—don't take that as me telling you to do that, but it's just something that I thought I would flag because it's always a recurrent discussion anytime we talk about participation and membership engagement, is the public comment.

And it's difficult to get people on board for that, so I think one way that helps is when you have people with experience standing up to lead the

comments, then it may encourage other people to join in. And although a lot of the people who join in may end up just, well, not freeloading, that would not be a very nice way to put it, but not necessarily contributing much, we can still hope that after a while then they get enough confidence because they've seen it done so that they can do it themselves.

But in any case, EPDP priority 2 if anyone's interested, it's up for grabs. And also, if any of you wants to join in on the financial documents, there's plenty to read. There's quite a few of us already but we can never have enough people for those kinds of things. Yep. So, that's my very quick point on public comments. So a little bit out of scope for us, but that's the reality of our work as well.

Yep. That's kind of it for the agenda on this list, so given that the last month was the break, there was nothing much that has happened. Yeah, I'll keep that for [inaudible] actually. So, anything on public comments? Point three of the agenda. Take that as a no. So, we can actually move to the airway right away. So, anyone has any airways? Yes, Ken.

KEN HERMAN:

Yeah, thanks, Raphael. First of all, on the public comments, I'll reach out to you individually or at least you directly because I take your point. I agree it would be a good entry for that. Any other business. One of the things that I had set aside to try and do for January that would be helpful for me to get some feedback on is to reach out to North American community directly. I've seen the mailing list, I'd like to grow

it, I've had my own networks where for non-profits and education institutions and a few that are personal users of domain names, etc. tried to engage them.

So, I'm interested to know if there's any resources people can point me to as to lessons learned for reaching out individually to communities instead of the larger community but to the geographic communities so that I can find out who on that list might be continued to be engaged, try to get some new individuals engaged, try to find some materials that ICANN might be providing that could clearly describe why people would become interested in NCUC instead of me trying to invent that and draft my own.

Obviously, I think it's an important constituency, but others might not agree and I might not be clear in the way that I convey to them why the issues that ICANN addresses are important to them in those communities. So, I'd be welcome any—don't have to talk to me here, but if you have my e-mail address, but I'm searching for a couple of things, like I said, just lessons learned for reaching out to the geographic community as well as materials that can make it clear. The major issues for non-commercial users. Thanks.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Thanks, Ken. And it's very nice of you to take these initiatives. I would reach out to you individually. There's a few of our veteran members in NCUC who have been more engaged in North America. I don't know the landscape much simply because although I was born in Montreal, I've spent most of my adult life in Europe. So, I'm unfortunately a bit

between two chairs when it comes to geographic communities. But I definitely know a few people and I will point out to you also, I would say, what our current materials are. And of course, linking back to what we were discussing before, that could also be part of the ABR.

For example, we have flyers and everything which are not necessarily tied to the websites, so there's some of these materials that get updated more quickly or have been updated more recently. So, I will find out the latest for you and point them out to you so that you can also use them in your communication. And I will give you the contact details of a few of our members as well.

KEN HERMAN:

That's great. Appreciate that. Yeah, I can deal with North America, I'm just interested to know what others in other geographic regions might find practical, useful, and/or other lessons in their own geographic regions for engaging with the constituencies.

So, looking to see what might have worked in other areas as well. And if there are specific experiences that are specific to North America, of course I would be interested to know about that. Thanks. So that's kind of what I'm after.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Okay, great. Thanks a lot. Yeah, then Olga and Ben, you have also, I think, been regionally active. So, feel free to reach out then in those case. Yeah, okay, good. If there's no other ABR from anyone, so I have—and feel free to jump in there if you have something, I'm looking at the

hands. So, one of them is the GNSO leadership call. So, there's been exchanges over the vacation on—well, I don't know even if it's an actual list right now, but I think so.

In any case, there will be most likely another call soon but if there's not, I will also report to you on what's been going on on that side of things since we started that earlier in the fall. So, it's follow-up on the relatively same discussions. So, there's the whole part about the IRP and so basically the dispute resolution process relating to ICANN bylaws and how to select members who are going to select the panelists, so the arbitrators.

So, there's continued discussion on that and as well on how to evolve the meetings. And whether to—well, not whether but where to do we go from now in a sense of it's going to be online for at least another one if not more. And there's a lot of exchange between the ICANN leadership and the community leadership as to where to take that from there and how far we should take this in the current context, meaning, do we use that as an opportunity to rethink the whole structure of the meetings or do we just temporarily adapt and revert back to what was done before once the public health situation is behind us?

So, that's been generally the two main points of the discussion so depending on when the next call happens, I will report on that probably sometime during the month, as I have done before to you and then on the general list. And yeah, I think that's my AOB, I don't think I have anything else.

Oh yeah, there's the cleanup of the various mailing lists. Not the regional ones, but we have a plethora of other lists that are not used under NCUC and I'm going to be proceeding with that cleanup as well. But yeah, that's not a very big issue in any case. It doesn't really directly affect us.

Yep. Thanks, Ben, for the comments. Yeah, that might be a good idea actually. So I don't know what's the messaging app which is the most popular in North America. I found that WhatsApp is not used a lot, at least not in the US. In Canada, a little bit. But that might be an interesting way to reach out, yep.

So, is there anything else? Otherwise, I think I can give you back 18 minutes of the hour, won't be too much. Nope. Okay, so just as a quick summary of what we're going to be dealing with in the next few days is the Financial Year 22 ABR. So, the consultation of the membership, so I'll circulate a draft Google form questionnaire by tonight. So tonight, in meaning North America East Coast time. And let's give ourselves maybe 24, 36 hours to review it so that it can be put on the general membership list as quick as possible. And depending on the results, I'll see ... so maybe quickly work out the schedule for that—so that's the 29th, that's in 18 days.

So most likely I'll give until the end of the week for the membership consultation, possibly extending it to Sunday 17 maybe so that over next week, we can work out on list how do we proceed with, which idea we proceed with, and then I'll set up an ABR form that will also be where I'll also involve the membership. But I will inform you of the next step once we get the consultation done in any case. So, that's probably

going to by—we'll probably close it on Sunday 17th and I'll still give until Friday to stress people a little bit. But yeah, well extend it probably the 17th. So that's for the timeline and all that as well. Timeline, 17th.

Okay, all right. So, that's all for me. So, if there's nothing else, I wish you a nice week, maybe the first week back to work, back to school, back to your occupation after vacation. And stay safe in COVID and we'll hopefully see the light at the end of the tunnel with the vaccinations running out. So, good luck everyone. Stay safe and have a nice day. Bye-bye. We can stop the recording right now.

OLGA KYRYLIUK: Thank you. And bye.

BRENDA BREWER: Thank you all. Have a great afternoon, evening.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]