

Expression of Interest to Serve As NCSG Representative on the 2018 IANA Naming Function Review (IFR)

The IANA Naming Function Review ("IFR") is a new accountability mechanism created as part of the IANA stewardship transition to ensure that PTI meets the needs and expectations of its naming customers. To that end, its intent is to review PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements set forth in the IANA Naming Function Contract and the IANA Naming Function Statement of Work.

The NCSG is seeking volunteers who wish to serve as our representative on the IANA Naming Function Review Team. Those who are interested should review the attached:

- Background Information on the IANA Naming Function Review to understand the purpose and scope of the review; and
- Candidate Qualification Requirements to understand the required skillset.

Candidates are requested to submit the Expression of Interest to the NCSG Policy Committee Chair by 23:59 UTC on 26 August 2018.

General Information

Your Full Name	
Geographic region	

Skill Set and Experience

Why you are interested in being a member or liaison on the IANA Naming Function Review Team?	
What relevant skills do you bring to the Review Team?	
Describe your knowledge of the IANA naming function	
Describe your knowledge of the purpose of the IANA Naming Function Review	
State your understanding of the responsibilities of being a member and liaison and time commitment. Can you meet these commitments?	
Disclose any conflicts of interest that you may have.	

Background Information on the IANA Naming Function Review

The IFR is a new accountability mechanism created as part of the IANA stewardship transition to ensure that PTI meets the needs and expectations of its naming customers. To that end, its intent is to review PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements set forth in the IANA Naming Function Contract and the IANA Naming Function Statement of Work. An important distinction is that the IFR is not an organizational or specific review, and it is not a replacement for the work of the Customer Standing Committee (CSC).

Scope

The scope of the review entails:

- Review and evaluate PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements in the IANA Naming Function Contract and determine whether to recommend any changes to account for the needs of the naming customers and/or community at large
- Review and evaluate PTI's openness and transparency procedures and oversight structures PTI's performance, including reporting requirements and budget transparency
- Review and evaluate performance and effectiveness of the Empowered Community ("EC") powers on areas related to PTI/the IANA naming function
- Review and evaluate whether there are any systemic issues that are impacting PTI's performance
- Identify process or other areas for improvement in the performance of the IANA naming function, and of the CSC and EC as it relates to oversight of PTI

It should be noted that there is a separate Bylaws requirement for a CSC Effectiveness Review to take place on 1 October 2018, and for the ccNSO and GNSO to define the methodology for the review. Due to the coinciding timing of the reviews and the potential overlap in scope, a ccNSO and GNSO coordination team is working on proposal of what the CSC Effectiveness Review is expected to cover so it could be considered for incorporation into the IFR.

Method of Work

The review team's work will primarily take place telephonically and via email. The review team will determine whether face-to-face meetings are necessary to carry out its work.

Per the ICANN Bylaws:

All actions of the review team shall be taken by consensus, which is where a small minority may disagree, but most agree. If consensus cannot be reached with respect to a particular issue, actions by the majority of all of the members of the review team shall be the action of the review team.

Any members of the review team not in favor of an action (whether as a result of voting against a matter or objecting to the consensus position) may record a minority dissent to such action, which shall be included in the review team minutes and/or report, as applicable.

Review team meetings, deliberations and other working procedures shall be open to the public and conducted in a transparent manner to the fullest extent possible.

The review team shall transmit minutes of its meetings to the Secretary, who shall cause those minutes to be posted to the Website as soon as practicable following each meeting. Recordings and transcripts of meetings, as well as mailing lists, shall also be posted to the Website.